

How to Escape the Dogs of War?

By Paul Frijters. First version: November 6th 2025. Slight updates January 2026.

Introduction. Those dogs....

As I openly predicted 2 years ago, the EU and Nato now see themselves in conflict with Russia whilst the US sees itself in conflict with Iran, China, Venezuela, and a few other countries. In Europe, elections in Romania, Poland, and elsewhere have been manipulated in favour of pro-EU candidates, begging the question who did the manipulation and how did the coordination among EU-leaders arise. It seems likely that the sudden death of 7 leaders of 'Alternative for Germany' were in fact assassinations, whilst the shooting of Charlie Kirk and others in the US also have a political whiff about them. Again, the question is who is doing this and who is knowingly allowing this.

The genocide in Gaza, probably already involving about a million dead Palestinians, is openly backed by the US government in a quite sadistic manner (they had assassins shooting Palestinians coming near food trucks used as bait). To avoid the American youth learning about the ongoing genocide, [rich pro-zionists have bought](#) the formerly Chinese TikTok US channel which the American youth view a lot. The genocide backers thus now control all major social media platforms and look set to try to bend world opinion to their cause, particularly young America. With the shooting of Charlie Kirk, who openly sided against the genocide and warned he might be taken out for his stance, the few high-profile influencers like Tucker Carlson or Jude Napolitano willing to speak out against that genocide in the US have been put on notice.

Many other important developments seem related to these political events: the challenge to the US dollar by China and the Brics block, threatening the financial stability of the US government; the increased censorship and propaganda within both the US and the EU, aimed primarily at anyone questioning authority, the genocide, the war against Russia, and the covid vaccines which all politicians backed; increased digital surveillance via digital currencies in the UK and the EU; the massive increase in military spending in Europe and US; the increasing engagement of European security forces to prevent the collapse of the Ukrainian regime; the frequent bombing by the Israeli air force of at least 7 countries in the Middle East; the push to expand dangerous vaccines onto the entire world population; and the new possibilities for control coming from Artificial Intelligence.

We are not just heading for war and for various forms of authoritarianism: we are already in the middle of conflicts that can easily become nuclear, and it is already the case in both Europe and the US that democratic institutions have failed.

In this long essay, mainly written at the start of November 2025, I want to survey the geopolitical storm we are entering – the wars and struggles that have already broken out and those that are brewing. I sketch my best guess as to what is going on and where we are heading, which is of course no more than a personal best guess. Then I talk about how best to survive personally and yet be useful to Western civilisation.

I am going to cover the following issues:

1. The Long view: zooming out, what are we looking at? What is driving these developments: who do they benefit and why now? The role of the super-rich.
2. The security agencies and the deep state. Who still matters and who is lackey?
3. The loyalty conflicts between the super-rich: which factions are there?

4. What coordination must there be within the globalist camp? 'The Epstein Group.'
5. The Brics and other international anti-Globalists.
6. The contours of the conflicts to come.
7. Likely battle plans.
8. The likely counter-preparations.
9. How might it go? Scenarios.
10. The aftermath.
11. Complicating considerations.
12. Advise for Team Sanity.

There will be a couple of 'new thoughts' in the below I did not articulate equally clearly in the past:

1. There will be an internal division between the super-rich, with the industrialists on the one hand and the monopoly service sectors on the other. The first will favour patriotism-dominated politics, both domestic and international. The second will favour a classic Empire with a centralised imperial bureaucracy and no role for separate countries.
2. The Western Globalists will have some kind of recognised coordination mechanism: they will be an organised block, even though there will also be competition between each 'Baron'.
3. It is likely the Western Globalists will already have trained a large mercenary army unseen by the media, both mainstream and non-mainstream. They will have newly developed weapons also.
4. There will be real coordination between some countries to oppose the Western Globalists, a coordination headed by Russia. That group will not include China or India, except perhaps China when it comes to Iran.
5. Until they are truly united and victorious, the Western Globalists will push their luck internationally, starting wars wherever it is lucrative to do so.
6. The main battleground that will decide the 'division of the international cake' is no longer Ukraine, but is likely to be Iran.
7. The presence of the Israelis and their genocidal religious fanaticism makes it likely a conflict with Iran will turn nuclear.
8. Big cities in the West are in danger of being destroyed in the coming conflict.

The longer-view: who is releasing the Dogs of War?

I have written about [this the last 20](#) years and the answer is easy: the super-rich in the West. They have escaped the bounds of their nationality such that they feel they are above their countries. They no longer pay significant amount of taxes, which has yet increased their wealth even more such that they control more resources than their governments. Those super-rich and their large corporations now control the main levers of power: the media, most political parties, most large non-profit organisations which they use to do their intellectual bidding or hide their nefarious commercial activities, the new technologies (AI, vaccines, crops, weapons, satellites), and are now also the major landowners in the West. They are the new Barons. Politics both within Western countries and globally is largely shaped by their attempts to expand globally and the conflicts between these Barons.

We have seen this before many times in history – whether in the transition in Rome from a republic to an Empire, or the transition in Germany from a democracy to expansive Nazism - and the script is the same: the struggles between such Barons get worse and worse until either a winner emerges or some devastating war leads to a reduction in their power relative to that of some overarching ideology, such

as patriotism or a religion. If there is a single winner, we get a globalist Empire with an Emperor who will keep the Barons in line, which is what happened in Rome around the time of Jesus Christ. If a war gives rise to a sufficiently powerful citizenry united in an ideology like nationalism, then we get a reaffirmation of the sovereignty of nations, like we got after WWII in the West.

We can see the current and coming struggles in a fairly simple light: the Globalist Barons who are internally divided versus the Patriotic Barons at home and the integrity of all other countries in the world.

How does this go? One set of Barons is egging the war on in Ukraine because they already own the mining rights to that place: if Russia controls the territory in which they already have a deal with the Ukrainian regime regarding mining rights, then they forego many billions of dollars. So, they have a lot to gain from pushing the Ukraine war to a bitter end, even at the risk of nuclear war which these Barons will know how to survive. Those Barons pull their levers of power in Kiev, London, Washington, Berlin, Paris, and so forth to prevent Russia from occupying their mines. They do not fear nuclear war because these Barons are so rich and well-organised that they have private deep bunkers (many in New Zealand, I understand) and ocean yachts to survive any type of conflict. Indeed, they are so rich that they have their tentacles in the regimes of smaller countries where they can hence go and hide even if the Western countries they come from are obliterated in a nuclear war: they can ride it out in Switzerland, Ecuador, Dubai, or someplace like that. They have bets in all directions so can afford to push their luck just for the sake of a few mines in Ukraine.

The same goes for a different set of Barons who already own the large oil fields off the coast of Gaza. They too have little to gain from allowing any Palestinians to survive in Gaza, and little to lose from a nuclear war in which Israel itself might be destroyed. They too push their puck, using the levers of power they have.

Ditto for the Barons who own the pharmaceutical industry, the military firms, the AI companies, and so forth: each is pushing their luck via large-scale wars, propaganda, bribes, and assassinations. In varying combinations, they control the major political parties in the US and most countries of the world, though they are willing to jump camps if they have to, such as when Pfizer bribed Trump to avoid the wrath of Robert Kennedy Junior who was threatening its business model.

How many of these Barons are there? Hard to know and new Barons do emerge all the time in the technological growth industries like AI and mRNA, but I would say [a few hundred in the West](#). There are many bit-players who control no more than a billion dollars or so, but they do not matter on the scale we are talking about here. A billion dollars is not enough to be able to dominate the media in many countries, or start a war in a large country. The big players are multi-billionaires. Some of those are old families, like the Rothschilds, the royal houses, the Rockefellers, the banking families, and so forth. Some are new kids on the block who made it in new industries the last few decades, like the Musk family, the Patels, the Adanis, the Bezos, the Tatas, the Soros, and the Zuckerbergs.

How do they line up in factions? No-one knows, including the people in this club itself. This is for good reasons: these Barons are smart and want to survive whatever happens next. They don't want to be seen to be solely betting on one faction. They want to be the best friend of the Emperor if an Emperor emerges, but they also want to be with the winning ideology if that happens to prevail. They know their history and are betting on all sides at the same time, trying to be in the shadows as much as possible.

One of the few things they cannot hide is their involvement in the covid vaccines and the genocide in Gaza, so no matter how distasteful they might personally find both of those, they have no alternative

but to line up with the other billionaires to bury the truth on those issues and to murder those in the West who expose them. There are a few patriotic Barons interested in exposing the truth on vaccines, but they have to be very careful since almost everyone else was complicit in the vaccines.

The other players in the West, existing and emerging

We should by now disregard the citizenry of most countries in the West as irrelevant: their combined ability to offer an alternative narrative or economic life outside of the protection of any of the Barons is minimal. For sure, there are information bubbles and communities of citizens where free-thinkers exist and even can thrive economically, but as soon as they become real threats they are squashed via a combination of censorship, demonisation, an activation of authority, or even assassination if needed. The only players we need to consider when thinking about wars and the trends ahead are inside the institutions or as potential blocks that could become important because the players that currently matter will forge them. What do I mean with the latter? Think of the Nazis in Germany in the 1920s and 1930s: they were bit players until the Barons of that time found them useful in their struggle against communism at home (pushed by other big players), creating a fanatical block of nazis who became so powerful that they could subdue the Barons who created them. Similar things are happening right now.

What is slowly increasing everywhere is patriotism as globalism has lost its appeal: it is no longer improving the life of the vast majority. Poor people are natural patriots: membership of their country is all they have. So, the Globalists are now swimming against a tide. Still, the large mass of people who are in principle patriotic are ridiculously easy to divide and rule until a coalition of patriotic Barons organises them around a patriotic ideology. Till that happens, the populations do not matter.

New players being brought into existence and rapidly expanding right this moment are the Western security agencies. MI6, the French security agencies, the CIA, the NSA, the German secret services, the Ukrainian secret services, and the rapidly growing EU secret services are becoming more powerful all the time. Why? Because the Barons need them to do their dirty work. These agencies rig elections, orchestrate the genocide in Gaza, organise mercenaries and black operations inside Ukraine, and assassinate opponents.

It was these security agencies which expanded hugely early 2020 and helped push lockdowns and mandatory vaccines: they were coordinating with top German and US politicians who were doing the bidding of BioNTech and Pfizer. A security expansion was needed to silence sensible medicine and freedom of speech. These agencies have kept growing since. They are the equivalent to the paramilitaries of the nazis in Germany in the 1930s: a new power in itself, currently doing the bidding of those who created it but with a natural agenda of its own.

What is the natural agenda of the Western security agencies? To survive and grow its influence, including its influence over the Barons who are an eventual threat to those agencies since they are not under the control of those agencies. The natural direction of travel for the security complex in the US and Europe is towards total internal control of governments, populations, and companies. We know how this goes from the rise of Stalin within the USSR in the 1920s: he ran the early version of the KGB and used it to assassinate his opponents and become the leader of an Empire that was Globalist in nature.

Stalin's rise to power is similar to how Xi Jinping rose to power in China, or indeed Vladimir Putin. Both of those consolidated their power via a very strong security agency. Both of those instituted a form of imperial control over the internal Barons who also arose inside Russia and China, coopting nationalism as a block to balance the Barons.

What type of leaders are Putin and Jinping? They are mainly umpires between the internal factions: strong enough to keep the Barons in line but not strong enough to set up a domineering imperial hereditary throne. They run patriotic empires.

We have seen this constellation in the West also before, namely in Britain between 1800 and 1914: a strong Monarchy that served as umpire between rich families and rising industrialists, whilst those minor powers were involved in global expansion. One equivalent to the genocide in Gaza is for instance the opium wars against China in the 1840s: a rich British industrialist wanted to sell opium in China, was resisted by the Chinese imperial bureaucracy, and then bribed the UK parliament into starting a war with China to open it up to opium, resulting eventually in the collapse of the Chinese imperial government and possibly costing over 100 million lives in famines and other consequences of that collapse.

The Brits never apologised and in fact are rather proud of their ability in the 19th century to cause such suffering and get away with it the next 180 years. When threatened by a different emerging power (Germany and Russia), the British elites were willing to risk WWI and WWII, wherein many of their own sons perished and much of their power was broken, allowing British patriotism a brief period of democratic dominance between 1945 and 1979.

During the early dominance of the British Monarchy, its army and security services were privatised overseas (via the British East India Company and other such entities which had huge armies of their own), but were eventually put under control of the Monarchy and patriotism (particularly after the uprising in India in 1857 against the British East India Company): by 1914, there was a large standing Imperial army of a few hundred thousand men, needed to subdue opponents throughout the British Empire that was assembled by a combination of private enterprise and Crown expansion. Until brought under single control though, these private armies were wreaking havoc abroad.

The nature of the current Western security agencies in the EU is more like that of the KGB than the 19th century UK model: these security agencies are embedded in government bureaucracies. That is where they exert their power most strongly and find their funds: they are not paid by the Barons but by tax collections and illegal activities, so they are naturally on the side of government survival and thus dominance over the Barons who ultimately threaten all tax collections. This is even true for a Western Empire as a whole: as long as they are not brought to heel, the Western Barons will resist paying taxes and will actually compete to steal taxes, so they are ultimately a natural enemy of the security agencies now so rapidly expanding. However, that natural enmity will only become active if the top of government itself is either a victorious Baron or a victorious ideology strong enough to be independent of the Barons.

In the US, the situation is slightly complicated because of the importance of private information armies, like Palantir and SpaceX, which should be understood as information armies that are crucial in modern warfare. These private armies are also needed for internal control but they are part of corporations, not the US government. Yes, they are in a fascist alliance, but they are still separate. Their presence reflects the divided nature of the Barons in the US: they are competing as much as cooperating, each building their own territories and having slightly different relations with the top politicians.

Yet, the current trajectory in the US is not for those private armies to truly compete for the loyalty of those with the guns: all the significant groups of men with guns are loyal to the US government. This differs from mid-19th century Britain where the private armies had guns and were loyal to the heads of private organisations. So, the private parts maybe interwoven with the US security agencies, supplying vital services (surveillance, analysis, hardware), but will ultimately not be able to resist the actual guns of the security agencies. As soon as the top of US government is controlled by a victorious Baron or true patriotism, these private services will effectively be nationalised, as they were also in the UK in the later part of the 19th century, with the British East India Company effectively nationalised in 1859.

So that is where we now headed and until that moment of consolidation of power at the top arises, the political temperature will just increase and increase, yielding wars and genocides along the way as the mere by-products of the pressures exuded by the rise of the Barons. The sure sign that the pressures have eased will be that the Barons and their large corporations get taxed. At the moment, they are not and they manage to get more exemptions all the time, such as when Trump recently did a deal with the EU exempting US corporations.

What are the two competing visions of the Barons? The patriots are like the Brits, American, and European countries of 1918-1960: they want politics dominated by loyalty to their individual countries. They favour the notion of a national culture and a national bureaucracy. The globalists are like the Brits of 1800-1850: clear headquarters (London), but a glorious empire that is above nations, run by rich commercial and other families, including private armies and continuous expansion. Indeed, under that early phase of British Empire, the elites were quite busy changing the culture within Britain. The Barons of that time saw themselves as civilising the peasants and barbarians within Britain. That is the kind of mindset the Western Globalists now have too.

We have seen the various possibilities the conflict between Empire and patriotism can lead to before: extreme patriotism (nazis), enlightened patriotism (the glory period of 1950-2000), pro-patriotic empires (1870-1950 and 1950-2020 (some countries)), and pure Empires (1800-1850 UK). The last group includes the Austro-Hungarian Empire and the Ottoman Empire, which both crashed after 1918 under the pressures of patriotism.

So those are the two current directions within Europe and the US. Democracy and free speech are the tool of pragmatic patriots (not the extreme ones) in this tussle, and might again win out if the Globalists (who want a unified Empire) lose decisively.

Russia and China are now both examples of patriotism-dominated Empires, which is why they are resisting the Western Globalists.

How do patriotism-dominated empires work? Think of a strong French nation holding onto its African domains till 1960 (and even later) for the benefit of the French elites: ruthless, but pragmatic.

Patriotism-dominated Empires are not averse to foreign wars if that profits their country, but those conflicts are more contained because the patriots want to keep their own countries intact. They will back off if their own country runs high risks, which thus happened for the Brits with the Suez crisis.

Globalists are more mobile, as the headquarters of the Empire is mobile (from Washington to London, or even to Budapest if need be) and individual countries are expendable. The wars of the Globalists are more risky. Extreme patriotism, on the other hand, leads to messianic wars, like Israel is now waging, but which was also waged by the Germans and Japanese in WWII. Unless the Globalists win quickly and then subdue the Barons, messianic patriotism is where we are headed in the West.

What is no longer much of a player? The Western government bureaucracy and private enterprise bureaucracies, including the standing military. These are huge blocks of jobs, but they simply have no capacity to organise their own views. They are dominated by the security agencies and the Barons via the hold of those Barons over information, new technology, and the top politicians. The activities of the bureaucracies – except those of the security agencies – are thus best regarded as those of puppets, even when they spout bullshit to provide a smokescreen towards the population about the activities of their masters.

This unimportance of most of the state is a recent development: it now seems that until 2020, the 'Deep State', even in the US, comprised of more factions than just the security services. It also included the top of departments that could do huge favours to the Barons, such as the top of the Health departments, or the military, or those involved in property and taxes. These other internal factions have now been brought to heel by the security agencies, in combination with private armies of the Barons, such as Palantir which should be understood as a private army that is at the moment growing in cooperation with the US security agencies. What the tops of the military, tax, health, education, and other spending groups within the US government think simply no longer matters: they have been neutralised as independent groups by the security agencies and should now be seen as mere vassals.

These bureaucracies, inefficient and politicised as they currently are, do form a huge block that can become much more important in different circumstances. They are needed to keep countries and companies running, so they cannot be disbanded, making them important in the long run. It is important to consider whom that block ultimately supports, for that will influence the direction of travel: whom they ultimately support will be what these neutered bureaucrats dream about and tell their children what should happen, eventually deciding which ideology will emerge.

The US state bureaucracy is ultimately on the side of patriotism and not the Barons, even though its ability to organise itself has of course been disrupted by the Barons and their political envoys who dominate the bureaucracy. This also makes it important for the Barons to prevent true patriots from taking over government because they would be able to organise the state bureaucracy against them. That is also a key reason for woke: the Barons support woke as a means of diminishing patriotism, its true long-term 'class enemy'. The fear of true patriotism was also the main problem the Barons had with Trump, both in the US and in Europe, but Trump has shown himself to be amenable to flattery and bribes, so all that Trump is truly doing is making his own family more rich whilst in power by playing different Barons against each other and selling the services of the US military and state bureaucracy. Trump is trying to act like a King, but he is not yet one and is proving himself too disloyal towards any group of Barons to be able to get enough help to make him King.

In the EU, the national bureaucracies are ultimately on the side of patriotism, but the EU bureaucracy is naturally on the side of EU nationalism, which is of course why the EU is starting to try to [get control over the history curriculum](#) taught throughout the EU: it is trying to use the control by the Barons over the EU governments to become a single country under the control of a single state bureaucracy. Even if they succeed though, whatever remains of the national state bureaucracies will tend to favour local patriotism for a long time, like German states even today have a strong regional memory separate from being German. Hence, to succeed, the EU security services will have to band together with those of the individual countries and move aggressively and fast to become a single entity. That will be very difficult because inside each of the EU countries, each national security agency has a bit of an incentive towards local patriotism: that cements its local position and insulates it somewhat from the danger that the EU project collapses. There is thus a tension there. The continued problems with setting up an EU army rather than a collection of growing national armies is in that sense good news for patriots.

As an aside, it was the state bureaucracy itself that undermined patriotism between 1970 and 2020 because it was expanding rapidly and saw loyalty to the country as conflicting with loyalty to the state. It thus, via education and subsidies, systematically undermined patriotism, encouraging migration and demonising national culture. The Barons in the EU continue to encourage both these types of destruction, though in the US the more industrial Barons have turned towards patriotism. They supported Trump who ran on a patriotic ticket and who is trying to hide his complete betrayal of patriotism in favour of collecting bribes from all Barons, most of whom are not US patriots but loyal to something else.

Loyalties of the Barons.

What are then the ultimate loyalties of the Western Barons? Individually, it is hard to tell. Some, like Ellison and Murdoch who took over TikTok, are Israeli patriots, playing with fire as they now openly threaten and bribe other Barons to do the bidding of Israel at the expense of other interests. Some, like Soros, seem to dream of becoming a King themselves of this or that country. Some, like Musk, seem to favour American patriotism at least as a stepping stone. Some, like Gates, seem only interested in living forever and becoming as powerful as possible.

What their interests as a class though is, is easier to tell, because it is bound up with their source of wealth. Those whose wealth is bound up with long-running investments in well-organised dependable people – like the industrialists – will want long-running stability and efficient government. This is why Musk is a natural American patriot, even though he is born in South Africa: his factories need stability and efficiency from the government: the electricity needs to come in every day for decades; workers need to be educated for decades; a whole network of smaller firms supplying his corporation need to arise from a stable, well-educated, well-functioning society. Industry cannot run away and cannot itself do all the education from cradle to grave, so it naturally breeds stability in government, meaning it stimulates a government that is on the side of the population. Adam Smith already noted this development at the end of the 18th century: he was amazed at the ‘seven virtues of capitalism’ which came into the culture as industry expanded.

This effect of incentives, btw, also means that the new AI companies, with their enormous investments in computing centres that also require dependable electricity, manpower, and other supplies from their surroundings, will be on the side of patriotism.

This is then also what happened in the 19th century, where the emerging industrialists supported good education and patriotism throughout Europe. Team Patriot, both in the US and Europe, is thus made up of the super-rich industrialists, which includes the oil refineries and such.

Those whose wealth is some form of parasitism – like finance and most other services (corporate law, health, social media) – will try to become global monopolists and try to compete for the position of emperor. They have networks everywhere and mainly rely on influencing power to buy its products, whether that is banking licenses or medical monopolies. They naturally want to influence power everywhere in the world and hardly rely on assets that cannot be moved around the world easily. This is thus Team Global. In the US, Team Global used to include the US military, but I suspect this is no longer the case: the military top has been brought under the control of the security agencies and private companies (including weapons manufacturing), which is an effective demotion. Those demoted generals will now be dreaming of patriotism again as a means of regaining their former

position. That is how it goes: top bureaucrats of a national government are globalists until they are themselves relegated to vassals, at which point they become patriots.

Those whose wealth is bound up by geographical control – like land, property, oil, and minerals – will tend to find patriotism dangerous because patriots compete for geographical control. Yet, they will gravitate towards whatever gives them the securest property rights and hence has buy-in from the majority of the other factions. It was this geographically bounded group in the UK in the 19th century that switched their allegiance from supporting the globalists (like those in the British East India Company or Lloyds) to the patriots, and thus to a single imperial army under control of the UK parliament and the UK monarchy. To survive, the landed aristocracy sided with patriots. So, think of them as Team Neutral, with a bit of a natural affinity for Globalism.

Where does the drive towards an empire then mainly come from? The service industries and the expanding 'landed Barons': those with global monopolies and those who own lands, mines, and other property in lots of countries. Patriotism is a risk to them as their control at home and abroad can be taken away, as happened in the 19th century when lots of important services (water, education, rail) got nationalised, or in the 20th century when patriotism led to new governments threatening control over oil and mines all around the world.

So those are the internal tensions in the West: industrial Barons sidling up to the security agencies and state bureaucracies favouring patriotism – which is also of course the natural ideology of the population, particularly those who do useful work – whilst the parasitical service-industry Barons push for a Global empire together with the landed Barons who would be willing to jump ship if they have to. Like with what happened under the nazis, the service-industry globalist Barons, including the banks, have the most to lose when patriotism goes extreme.

Since the globalist forces are still much stronger inside the West than the patriotic forces, and the globalist forces are trying to become stronger by taking over more of the rest of the world, we can simplify our view of the current situation by saying there is a group of Global Western Barons (GWB) rapidly and ruthlessly establishing an international empire they loosely control, and a group of Patriotic Western Barons (PWB) whom the GWB are in a struggle with for dominance at home but who can be subdued if the GWB grow in wealth fast enough and can unify.

How do the Globalists Western Barons coordinate? The Epstein Group.

This is a tricky question. From the interference in many elections and their international assassination and propaganda programs, we can be sure that there is fairly tight coordination between the GWB. What happened in the first few days of January 2026 is instructive: on the same day the US regime kidnapped Maduro, the French and English bombed Syria and the French were also rumoured to have tried to take out Troare of Burkina Fasso. Moreover, there was a hit on Putin wherein Trump seems to have played him like a patsy, telling Putin to be somewhere at a particular time to await a phone call regarding peace (and at that moment the Ukrainian drones which will have needed US guidance were meant to strike, but all 91 drones were intercepted). This was no coincidence, and the planning for each event must have taken months. There is thus premeditation and coordination: at one stroke the Western globalists announced themselves.

A few nodes seem especially important, like Blackrock, Vanguard, Palantir, the 5 eyes security coordination, the EU commission, the WEF leaders group, Goldman Sachs leaders and their former employees (like Macron), and Nato headquarters. However, even just these groups comprise of too many people to be able to coordinate quickly and effectively. Also, these organisations are full of middle-men and not the Barons themselves.

So there probably is some form of quick coordination between several of these groups, like a weekly meeting of key people from all of these organisations. There has to be some coordinating meeting in which the narratives are aligned, upcoming problems that require a joint decision are flagged, and key decisions are affirmed or amended. The people at that meeting must all be very dependable and easily disposed of by the Barons if ever they would break ranks.

Let's call them the Epstein Group.

What does the Epstein Group run? From their activities, it is clear they must control the EU, US foreign policy, global health, Western media, most political parties in the West, and most media and political parties outside of the West in countries that have not yet organised against the Epstein Group. One can trace some of the people and companies involved from activities that are visible, such as the advertising ban against Twitter when Elon Musk took over in late 2022: all who banned twitter were in the GWB and coordinated by the Epstein Group, at least at that moment.

It is important to realise that the Epstein Group will probably not itself have all the key global monopolies or own lots of mines and land outside of the West: the individual Barons and their families are the owners. Those families compete for more monopolies and more land and such. Hence the drive for more wars comes from the activities and plans of the individual Barons and the groups they individually or in shifting combinations control, which will be a large mass of important people. The Epstein Group must be downstream of that more complicated 'Big Game': the Epstein group consolidates and coordinates the combined wishes of the Globalist Western Barons. As such, the Epstein Group will respond to the underlying changes in power of the various Barons by changing its policies.

Who will be in the Epstein Group? Hard to know, but I would guess people like Mark Rutte, Ursula von der Leyen, and Steve Witkoff. A few Western secret service people. A few representatives of key players like Trump, the Indian billionaires, and the media conglomerates. I would guess maybe 20 people: smart cookies who are willing lackeys of power, high on their own importance and probably with quite perverse sexual fetishes that makes it easy for their masters to keep them in line.

Having an empire run by perverts is very normal in history: empires are often governed by people who do not matter themselves and are totally despised by everyone. The Chinese emperors had their Eunuchs and the Roman emperors a Pretorian guard made up of outsiders. For the same role, the Ottoman Sultans often used Christians even though the Sultan and his people were Muslim. The more despised, the more dependable these 'fixers' are.

The modern equivalent of these useful outsiders are people like Macron, Rutte, and von der Leyen. They are coordinating the expansion of the empire, reading the changing wishes of the Barons, who stay more in the background and fight among themselves for more individual wealth.

This thus brings us to the international picture: the 'others' that the Epstein Group is at war with or will start more wars with, in order to expand.

The international competition: Brics and all that

Internationally, among the non-West, we clearly see the ascendance of patriotism and thus a longer-term movement back towards an international system of countries keeping each other in check. The problem that the non-West has is that it is not united and thus is ruthlessly played by a much more coordinated set of Western Barons who are killing and cajoling wherever they can to secure their interests. Whilst patriotism is thus on the rise, Western-aligned globalist groups are coming to power in Moldavia, Romania, Nepal, Armenia and everywhere else where the patriots are not firmly in charge and actively resisting the Epstein Group.

Who seems aware of what the Epstein Group is up to? The Russian elites seem to be very aware. Some of the elites in Brazil and other countries in Latin America, with its long exposure to American interference, also seem aware, but they are not so internally united as the Russian elites. That reflects the fact that the countries in Latin America have the choice to join the West. This is one reason why the US globalists must hence make an example of Venezuela and crush its government: so that the more important countries like Brazil do not oppose the GWB. Also, of course, the oil reserves of Venezuela are huge and the GWB wants to control them. In this, they have an ally in the US patriots who think that it's the US that will control those reserves, not the GWB. It was also thus in the UK in the 19th century.

The Chinese are clearly not aware of the Epstein group or how the West operates. Despite two centuries of being raped and pillaged by the West, it is blissfully ignorant of the fact that the West is doing it again. The Chinese authorities, for reasons I have talked about at length in the past, are simply not aware of how Western politics is played and cannot believe it. They moan about not being recognised sufficiently in the Western press, and they try to play nice with African populations, but they get totally overplayed whenever it matters.

Whilst the Chinese should have invested heavily in Syria and build it up as a place to secure Chinese interests in the Middle East, they let it be overrun by US-trained jihadis in late 2024. Similarly, the Chinese should have supported the Russians in Moldavia, Azerbaijan, Armenia, and elsewhere to prevent an anti-Chinese pro-Western government from emerging, but they kept to a policy of 'non-interference' in the quite bizarre belief that that will make them long-term friends. They are naïve, completely oblivious to how effective Western propaganda will be in making conquered populations be anti-Chinese and pro-US. Indeed, they are blind to how American social media is indoctrinating [their own youth at this very moment](#).

Whilst China is thus the core patriotic power in the non-Western camp - and certainly in no danger of being physically invaded because it is far too strong for that - it is unbelievably stupid in playing the international game. The Russians know this, as their key political analyst Dugin has noted (<https://www.zerohedge.com/geopolitical/dugin-war-ahead-us>): in effect Russia must ride the Western storm alone whilst China 'hopefully' finally learns the game.

A few other powers are also quite aware. The elite of Iran is now aware and unified. The elite of Turkey is also aware, even though Turkey is in the Western camp (but quite ready to jump ship when that is in its interest). The elites of Belarus and Hungary are similarly aware and largely unified. Parts of the elites in all European countries are aware, though often internally divided, but the people who matter in Europe are nearly all under control of the Epstein Group. I return later to some advice for those Europeans who do not like the activities of the Epstein Group.

Are the Indian elites aware? The ones now in charge there are highly patriotic, but their historical sympathy is more with the West. Yet, its own billionaires are fairly evenly divided between patriotic (because industrial) and globalist (because service-oriented). Still, on balance, India will not naturally join the G7 and have some degree of independence from both the West and Brics. Given its size and sophistication, it is perhaps the only country in the world that can truly be neutral, and might start to gather more countries around it that want to remain neutral, though India is not making any moves towards such a position yet: rather, it is veering this way then that way between the two blocks.

Several of the elites in African countries are aware, but they basically do not matter unless the Chinese start to protect those who have momentarily escaped the Epstein Group's control: the few African leaders who know what is going on will simply be assassinated like whole generations of revolutionary African leaders before them. Their countries will see 'Western colour revolutions' instigated by massive Western media interference (via local media, of course), or they will simply see sponsored coups. Some countries might be invaded, but then probably more by other African countries whose governments are on the payroll.

The contours of the conflict to come

Western powers are already expanding their own armies and bases so that they can confront Russian mercenaries and others in Africa. On its own, Russia will not be able to resist and the Chinese are too naive to realise what they will lose if they don't help the Russians, so the Epstein Group will see its chance and take it. Indeed, this might happen quite soon since no African country has the military or propaganda means to resist.

Hence, though Africa might at this moment be nearly entirely anti-Israel and with many countries applying to Brics, one should not be deceived: it has no strong countries able to resist the Western storm unless they get significant military aid, particularly in the form of the personal security of the leaders. Russia on its own is not able to provide that aid, though it has some options we will discuss below. One of the things that one might hence expect to happen soon is for Western armies and their proxies to march through much of Africa, possibly using large mercenary armies currently raised and blooded in Ukraine and elsewhere.

Latin-America is also ripe for the taking, and one should expect a few invasions there too very soon, unless there is a concerted countermove, which the Chinese are not gearing up for and India certainly will not organise.

What about the Middle East and Asia then? The Middle East is mostly US-dominated and the Israelis are making an example out of all Palestinians and any government that openly opposes them, assassinating the lot of them. This has worked out reasonably well for them, as most regimes there are quiet about the ongoing genocide and support every crazy plan the Americans put in front of them, for fear of being assassinated next. With the fall of Syria, only Iran and the groups aligned with it oppose the Western agenda in the Middle East, though Saudi Arabia and Egypt are being courted by China and Russia.

Middle Eastern regimes played the US against the USSR in the cold war as well, yet were solidly pro-US whenever it mattered, again with Iran the only consistent exception after the Islamic revolution there of 1979.

Should we expect a US-led invasion of the Middle East, including in places like Jordan and Saudi Arabia? Unlikely, because even if divided into smaller entities, the region would then naturally pivot towards Iran and Turkey, neither of which would let the Western Barons do what they want: Iran and Turkey are patriotic places with deep memories, thus somewhat immune to Western propaganda. Western interests would not be secure as long as those two countries remain unified and important. On its own, Turkey would not be threatening, so the West would probably leave it intact if Iran is no longer united. The opposite is not true (breaking up Turkey would not help the Epstein Group much if Iran is still there: Turkey is a potential battering ram against Iran).

So, a real attempt at breaking the elites of Iran seems likely. It is what the Zionists have aimed for the last 30 years, but now it is also in the interest of the GWB. With the elites of Iran gone or divided, the Western Barons can gain control over the oil in the whole region. Places like Saudi Arabia can then remain intact as vassal states, but without real autonomy because they have no-one to turn to for help.

How would the attack on the Iranian elites go? The Israelis tried already in early 2025, using Afghani migrants and lots of infiltration networks they built up over decades. Israel failed and the Iranian regime strengthened its hold and its popularity. The population lined up behind the regime, which the regime reacted to by becoming more patriotic, less religious, and more aligned against immigrants whom they kicked out (perhaps well over a million). Iran's defences are reportedly also bolstered by the Chinese and the Russians, because the Chinese have realised their dependence on Iranian oil, and have thus been willing to support its regime.

How will the US and Israel try next? Well, for one, the US has taken over some of the countries to the East of Iran: Azerbaijan, Armenia, Nagorno-Karabach, and others. They have built up forces there so that the rockets landing on Iran will come from there, not Israel or Saudi Arabia. Similarly, the US is building up naval forces in the region. The Israelis, meanwhile, have moved up close to Iran via new bases in Iraq, and they will undoubtedly have been training up jihadis from the region to physically invade, using US weaponry and information systems (drones, satellites and such).

I thus expect a quite significant army to invade Iran, together with massive barrages of missiles and airplanes coming from countries all around Iran. Since the aim is to get rid of the Iranian regime, the targets will be deep bunkers, the government, the areas in which the government lives, top army barracks, and so forth.

Despite the huge firepower the US and Israel will be able to bring to bear on Iran, I think they have very little chance of breaking the Iranian regime with conventional forces. The huge rocket arsenal of Iran cannot be brought down by any system, so Israel will be relentlessly attacked. So too for the bases in Azerbaijan and the American bases anywhere in that region. China and Russia will help it with satellites and re-supplies. The Iranian population will mobilise to defend its country, and they will have been training a lot these months.

The Israeli and US planners will know this and expect this. What are their options to nevertheless subdue the Iranian regime? One is to force a big army nearby to invade Iran. The only real candidate is Turkey, which has a huge army of its own. However, the Turkish elite knows it will be a tough task and that they will take heavy casualties, so I don't see them do this unless they think Iran is softened up enough for it to be relatively easy. That would also be when the price they can get from the US and Israel is the highest. This was also how the Turkish elites played the Palestinian genocide: they protested vehemently until they could get the highest possible price for switching tack and helping to destroy Syria, thus taking away one of the few allies of the Palestinians. The Turkish President Erdogan got a great price for betraying the Palestinians. He will be playing a similar game with respect to Iran.

Turkey seems to have prepared for this scenario by becoming more friendly with Pakistan and doing a deal with it to be under its nuclear umbrella, thus reducing the threat Israel could pose Turkey. This puts Turkey into a position of being able to say 'no' to the US and hence to sit back when the Western powers attack Iran.

Let us think now from the point of view of the Western military planners.

What the West needs to invade Iran is a large, mobile, sophisticated mercenary army. We have not heard of such an army being assembled and trained, but neither did almost anyone know of the mercenary army trained in Syria before it successfully attacked the Assad regime in 2024. Secret deals and secret training camps had led to an army of nearly 100,000 fighters suddenly flooding Syria, equipped with drones and other high-tech arms. I now expect the West to have done more of this on a grander scale: I expect 'us' to have several hundred thousand mercenaries 'up our sleeves'.

How those mercenaries could attack Iran is easy: from all sides, via land routes. They could have been stationed in Azerbaijan and Iraq, Doha, and even Afghanistan. Yes, the Russians and Chinese will be looking out for this, but it is easy to disguise troop movements if you have months to do so and the regimes involved are in your pay-pocket. Besides, the American satellites and surveillance apparatus are simply much better than those of the Russians and Chinese.

Where would the men be recruited from? I can think of a few sources. There are millions of unemployed poor Afghani men, probably quite eager to fight if they are paid. There are regular brigades in Poland, Romania, or poor countries in Latin-America that the Americans would be able to 'rent'. There must be millions of poor Indians, Bangladeshis, Mexicans, Nepalese, Malaysians, and South Africans quite willing to fight for money. The Congo just had a large war, so there might be millions of men from that region willing to fight for money. The US has large bases in many islands in the Pacific where you can train them and equip them out of sight. Ukraine has lots of space to train them and let them experience real combat to toughen them up.

What about Ukrainians themselves? Well, I believe the reports that say well over a million have died fighting the Russians and that the Russians are killing about 10 Ukrainians for every Russian. With that many dead, there will not be many Ukrainian men left to fight anywhere else. Indeed, the Ukrainian regime is now conscripting men over 60, sure signs of desperation. I expect the Ukrainians to only survive right this moment via lots of foreign mercenaries propping up their lines.

This is thus what I suspect the Epstein Group is up to: the training of a huge mercenary army to take on Venezuela, Iran, African countries, and many countries elsewhere. They will of course need to be backed up by an expanding army of European and Americans. There too it is easy to see who will be in those Western armies: recent migrants and poor people. Europe and the US have many millions of migrants they can round up and force into armies to send to Africa or Latin-America.

I also expect the US military and the European military elites to be preparing themselves for that kind of warfare: training and leading mercenary armies whilst expanding domestic recruitment and weapons production. I expect them to be drawing up plans for how to send lots of unmanned sea drones to attack Iran, perhaps including the release of lots of other drones when landing. I expect them to be building lots of containers full of drones to then ship close to Venezuela. I expect them to have developed new weapons to shoot down swarms of enemy drones. And so forth.

What kind of propaganda preparation would go with this? First of all, it would involve pulling the wool over the eye of the enemy: just as Assad and the Russians did not see the jihadi mercenaries coming because Western narratives were all focusing on Lebanon and Yemen, so too do I expect it to be this

time. Airwaves full of false information, both pro-Western and seemingly anti-Western. The narratives about the West not being able to produce large amounts of drones? The narratives about the Israelis not being able to get recruits? The narratives about the American army being concerned with obesity and woke? The narratives about how Israel is dragging the US along in an air campaign against Iran? I expect all of that to be bullshit. Diversions. Similarly, the stories for how the West is losing Africa or anti-Western forces are building in Latin-America? These stories are being allowed on air for a reason, namely diversion of the preparations underway. Even discerning outsiders will have no more than the odd hint to work with, awash as they are in a sea of disinformation.

The open preparation we can all observe is how the Europeans and Americans are made ready for war against Russia, Iran, and others. We get that daily on mainstream media. They have an obvious purpose: when the attacks come, via mercenaries and jihadis, the populations will clap rather than wonder where these mercenaries came from or where they might go next.

Likely battle plans.

Do I expect the West to attack China directly? No, because it is far too strong. The Chinese are formidable and even though they are naïve beyond belief when it comes to anything outside of their borders, they will be vicious if you attack their country. So, the Chinese will be attacked via the media and via the dissembling of their allies and commercial interests, not directly.

Will Russia be attacked directly? It is hard to see this happening via Nato, despite the quite clear false-flag stories of Russia attacking Denmark and other such absurdities. No, a direct attack on Russia seems unlikely to me for two reasons. The obvious one is that Russia has so many nukes.

The other reason is that Russia has seen this coming and has prepared. Its land army is now by far the strongest in the world: it has mastered new techniques and new weaponry in its 3-years conflict in Ukraine. It has trained millions of Russian men in these new techniques, rotating them in and out of Ukraine or Russian territory in order to give them combat training. It has an up-and-running industry of top new weapons, far cheaper and more efficient than what the West will have. Moreover, the Russians have been using the war in Ukraine to help train their allies, such as the North Koreans and the Belarusians. You do not attack the most powerful land army in the world directly.

But the Epstein Group will want to keep the Russians busy so that they don't help Iran, Venezuela, and others. So, they will attack Russian ships using excuses. They will harass their allies. They will make trouble in the countries close by, such as Mongolia and Kazakhstan. Russia is huge and its population quite small, so it's easy to have lots of bandits make trouble everywhere on its borders, using lots of containers with drones and such to amplify the damage small groups can do.

Of course, Russia will have prepared for this and will expect this, but still, its borders are huge and its population is small. It also does not have the funds to buy up mercenaries, though it will try and use North Koreans for the same role. Still, there are only so many North Koreans to post at Russian borders. Unless the Chinese really help Russia, the Epstein Group will be able to keep it very busy and Russia's finances only allow so much Russian counterweight. It can only help Venezuela if paid well. It can only help Iran if China pays it well. And neither Russia nor China can counterbalance Western propaganda: Russia cannot because it is not that good at it and does not have the money, and China cannot because it is too naïve about the world to realise how it should do propaganda.

So, the game-plan of the Epstein Group is probably to overwhelm Iran, Yemen, Lebanon, Venezuela, much of Africa, Cuba, and Latin-America, keeping Russia busy in Asia. Perhaps the Epstein Group will also try to sweep Hungary, the countries in the Pacific, and the independent islands in the other oceans so as to isolate China and mop up any resistance 'nearby'. And yes, I can see why and how the Epstein Europeans might invade Hungary and even Serbia. It would depend on whether they could do so swiftly using a hidden mercenary army taking out their governments. 'To restore democracy and undo Russian interference' would be the excuse, which the European populations would surely swallow.

Counter-preparations. What will the non-West plan?

India will do nothing and watch, that is nearly certain because the Epstein Group will not be stupid enough to attack it: that would be the perfect way to drive the Indians into the arms of the Russians, who suddenly would have all the manpower they do not have themselves. The natural desire of the Indians to be with the West, coupled with the reality that they are now patriotic and geopolitically belong with Russia and China will then probably keep them neutral.

I expect the countries closest to China, like Vietnam, the Philippines, Japan, Nepal, and such, to also 'sit out the first waves'. The reason would be to keep the Chinese docile. Naïve though they might be, even the Chinese would realise they need to truly support their foreign allies if the Americans were to invade Vietnam and Mongolia. So, though those countries would continue to get a Western propaganda bombardment (as they are right now via Netflix, Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, and the rest of the Western media), I do not expect them to be involved in the first phases of the Epstein Plan. They too will sit it out. Ditto for places like Indonesia, Pakistan, and Thailand: there is no obvious reason for the Epstein Group to take over those places because those places have little wealth to steal and would be a hassle to physically take over. Far easier to keep bombarding them with Western propaganda.

Because of their naivety, the Chinese will sit out most of the Epstein plan, except when it comes to Iran. They have committed to Iran and will not let it fall easily. The Russians will have told the Chinese what to expect there, so they will surely have all sorts of contingencies ready to help Iran survive. They might furthermore make an agreement with Venezuela because the regime in Venezuela will surely be trying to make an agreement with them.

Still, given the inability of the Chinese to understand the West, it will be the Russians and the Iranians who will have to mastermind a response. Let's consider their likely counterplan.

Russia will know it is next, no matter what assurances the Epstein Group might have secretly given it, so it will not want the Epstein Plan to succeed. But Russia is poor and not able to project power much beyond its borders without active help of China: it cannot help Venezuela without the direct involvement of China. Also, it will have trouble seriously helping anyone in Africa without the direct involvement in China as it would need to transport vast quantities of weapons and people to Africa via sea to be of serious help there.

Russia can help Iran though, and Iran can pay with its oil. Still, Russia will want to help Venezuela and particular countries like Yemen and African countries, merely to keep a non-Western bridgehead in those continents. Brazil might or might not be willing to co-plan, but surely 'on the cheap': by aiding transport, not by getting involved more directly.

How could Russia and Iran help Venezuela 'on the cheap'? It could transport top-missiles and drone technology to Venezuela. It could even do a deal: train up large numbers of Venezuelans in new warfare. For this to work though, China would have to help via its huge fleet and technology. Unlikely.

The only effective cheap help would be for the Russians to have a nuclear base in Venezuela, with the key supplies from submarines. So a nuclear submarine base that builds up into an actual base in the mountains of Venezuela which would produce hypersonics and store nuclear missiles. Even then, I would expect the US to attack Venezuela using lots of mercenaries and arming rival parts of the Venezuelan population. Plenty of Venezuelan migrants in Latin-America who would be keen to fight against the Venezuelan regime. It would be a risk for the Russians to equip a regime that might be toppled with a nuclear base. A nuclear submarine base, yes, but a mountain base? Only if the help is vast, such that the regime is much stronger than it seems. Again, that would require real help from China. Unlikely, so Venezuela would probably fall and the Russians would anticipate that.

What other move does Russia then have in Latin-America? To help the part of the elites of Brazil that are anti-US survive in government. This is easier: via weapons technology, joint military exercises and joint planning. As long as Brazil survives in the anti-Epstein Group, the whole of Latin-America can be 'won back' at a later date. So that probably is the plan, with contingencies should China change its mind and wake up from its deep slumber.

Then Iran, the main battlefield for the Middle East, but also for the maintenance of any neutral countries in Africa. What can Russia do on the cheap about the Epstein Plan above?

For one, North Korea seems eager to join in, so Iran will be able to call upon a lot of North Koreans, well equipped and battle hardened. The same is likely true for large numbers of mercenaries trained by Russia in Africa and Asia via the Wagner group. That is a few hundred thousand soldiers. Given the large land-corridors, almost surely the Koreans and Russians can get there by land, though troop convoys might get attacked from the air by the Epstein Group. The Russians might have prepared for this via tunnels, sea routes, hidden pipelines, and whatnot. The Pakistani generals might well have helped with this, though they might also sell out to the Americans, so maybe the Russians would not easily trust them.

As preparation though, I would not be surprised if there would already be a significant number of North Korean troops in Iran. They would be particularly useful for the personal protection of the Iranian leaders.

China will probably be willing to help to protect Iranian-oil production, equipping the Iranians with whatever is needed to retaliate against attacks on their refineries, tankers, and oil fields. Still, oil fields and refineries are easy targets and one should expect the Epstein Group to attack them to ensure Iran has no money in a long campaign. They might not do this at the start in the hope of getting rid of the regime without getting into an oil war, but probably think it is unlikely an oil war can be avoided if the Iranian regime is removed, because the Iranian regime will attack the oil fields of its enemies as part of fighting back.

What are China's options then, besides trying to prevent the conflict with Iran? Stock up on oil and give Iran what it needs to have its regime survive and its opponents sufficiently humiliated to back off for good.

China has formidable new weapons, courtesy of its immense industrial and technological base. I thus expect them to equip Iran with a lot of new technology that is able to inflict unexpected damage on the mercenaries, planes, drones, and particularly ships that attack it. I expect this to include a few

surprises the West will not know about. I would expect them to give Iran whatever it needs to take out all the American ships anywhere close to the Middle East, including its commercial ships. China might have given the Houthis in Yemen some of that technology too. China might also help with supplying over the Caspian Sea, and via other routes where it will help Russia maintain control over the skies.

China can also help logistically: give the Iranian regime mobile phones and satellites the Americans cannot hack; give the Iranians high-resolution immediate information on any attackers; jam any attack electronically wherever possible; and so forth. I expect them to have prepared for all of this.

What about the help Russia can give Iran otherwise? Russia has the best air defence systems and long-range missiles, so it can give Iran some of those, including the highly effective Oreshniks which the Russians have been producing in bulk the last year. Still, the West seems to have the best planes and the best information technology, so Russia will not be able to protect all of Iran.

How it might play out. Scenarios.

A few things are clear. The genocide of Palestinians in Gaza will succeed, likely followed by the transportation of the Palestinians in the West Bank to Syria. Lebanon will be attacked and Hezbollah destroyed to the last man (not by the Israelis, who are not strong enough on their own, but by the Americans and mercenaries). Yemen is likely to be bombed and invaded, but mainly to keep them busy (it is a difficult country to occupy and it would need very vulnerable troop movements).

Venezuela seems likely to fall in a sudden mass attack on its government, with lots of Venezuelan migrants already trained up to take over. [this sentence was written in November!]

Russia will win in Ukraine, but will be forced to kill almost the entire adult population and occupy much of the country whilst whatever it does not occupy is transformed into solid nazi-territory that forms a platform for training more mercenaries and for continued strikes on Russia.

Whatever is now anti-Western in Africa will be attacked by mercenaries and units specialised at taking out governments, replaced by stooges and a contingent of brutal mercenaries quite happy to murder and rape what they need to in order to pacify the population if propaganda will not suffice. Ditto for many islands and countries in the Pacific and the other oceans (Australia might be involved in this – ever happy to do whatever the US asks, the dirtier the better).

The EU will continue to consolidate into a single police state doing the bidding of the GWB. Opposition against this will increase, but as a reaction so will the assassination program and the propaganda effort. Until that effort fails, the elites pushing for this will push as hard as they can: they are now committed and cannot go back since real patriots have become wise to their game and would hence have their revenge if ever they got into power. So, it's a fight until a clear winner and the destruction of the other side, at which point of course new sides emerge as the winning group starts to squabble internally (but that is a speculation for another day).

If the Epstein Group wins in Europe, then, given how ideology is needed to motivate populations to fight in wars, I expect some European Western ideology to emerge that is pushed by the Epstein Group. It has so far not yet found a useful one, but an obvious one would be to become anti-Muslim. The unification of Europe into a single political entity run by either Washington or a European Emperor might well be achieved by the massacre of the 28 million Muslims now living there. Seems quite possible to me. 28 million Muslims and a few dissident European thinkers who do not manage to escape fast enough.

Yet, the confrontation with Iran and Russia might not go as the Epstein Group hopes for.

The victory-over-Iran scenario is simple: then the Western Empire is a fact on the ground, and the Western Barons can plunder Africa, the Middle East and most of Latin-America as much as they want. The wealth and mercenary armies that generates would probably be enough to squash patriotism at home, such that the US and Europe could become like the UK in the early 19th century: not too industrial, but largely predatory on the rest of the world. The West would be where the loot is spent and some key new military and control technologies kept being developed. A place with an imperial elite fighting over control at home, whilst the empire is used to produce whatever the Western elite wants. I imagine the sex parties to be quite spectacular then.

Would it stop with Iran? No, but it would probably force China and Russia closer together, leading to a more solid block in Asia. You'd get skirmishes in the middle countries, like the Great Game of the late 19th century. Russia would continue to be harassed because some Barons would continue to want their mines in Ukraine and elsewhere. Further confrontations would then surely arise as Barons push their luck. Still, if the empire is sufficiently consolidated, which would then be likely, the Imperial secret service and its bureaucracy would be too strong for the Barons and they would be kept in line, so the geopolitical situation might then become stable again with three blocks: a large one under Western control, a Russia-China block with as allies some of the countries close by, and a neutral block headed by India, involving whatever country survives the Epstein Plan and does not want to be with China or Russia, but is willing to be dominated and abused by India (which in its deepest nature is now quite similar to the West, so also parasitical once a united entity, which is what it is becoming).

What would the defeat-in-Iran scenario look like, or equivalently defeat wherever the showdown occurs between the Epstein Group and the coalition Russia has managed to alert (North Korea, Iran, perhaps Brazil, Pakistan, Belarus, etc.)?

Defeat in Iran after a long effort is perhaps the worst possible defeat for the Western globalists, much worse than, say, defeat in Brazil would be. Defeat in Brazil would leave the West itself intact with lots of its empire. This is difficult to envision with Iran.

The reason for this is not Iran itself but Israel: the Israeli population has gone biblically mad in its bloodlust towards the Palestinians and all its neighbours. They now truly believe they are completing ancient prophecies, with the land of Israel arising. Given the genocide underway and the degree to which they have coopted the American and European elites, the Israelis too are now committed.

When Israel attacks Iran with all the Western allies helping, Iran will strike Israel back relentlessly, helped by the technology of the Chinese and the Russians. Though the force attacking Iran will be far greater than in early 2025, so too will be the determination and scale of how Iran will hit Israel.

My gut tells me the West still underestimates Iran, because it also underestimates the technological ability of China and Russia. The West mistakes the enormous stupidity and weakness of the Chinese for an inability to produce the best weapons on earth. In that they are mistaken: though the Chinese indeed are frightfully naive and in many ways responsible for the storm because they failed to stop it a decade ago, they are amazingly competent technologically. The West cannot defeat China if it helps Iran fully, which I think it is now committed to, though perhaps I still underestimate the stupidity of the Chinese. They have amazed me before in Syria and Azerbaijan (to let the West prevail there was really stunningly naive).

Still, I think China will realise it must help Iran when the attacks begin.

What will the West then do as Iranian missiles level Tel Aviv, American bases, American aircraft carriers, French bases, UK bases, and German bases? It can and will only do one thing: escalate. They will relentlessly bomb the Iranian population, which lives in very vulnerable cities. The West will flatten the country, either by drones, missiles, bombs, or artillery by mercenaries. The existential anxiety of the Epstein Group and their backers will translate into a completely destroyed Iran.

What can Iran do, supplied by Russia, North Korea, and China? Long-range missiles on everything from which the planes and artillery come. Use new Chinese technology against the drones. Flatten the oil fields and refineries in the region if the regimes in the region help the West. Use drone-ships to choke the harbours and airfields of Israel, Cyprus, and elsewhere. Use Hezbollah to flatten Israeli cities.

I only see Israel react in one way to a sustained campaign like that: with nuclear bombs. Iran will react with sending back nuclear bombs, whether those are dirty bombs or otherwise. What do I see the Israelis do then? Lash out against anyone whom they think did not back them up in their quest. That could mean they send a nuclear bomb to Moscow, Rome, and certainly most of the capitals in the Middle East. Once those bombs fly, a lot of them will fly. Every Western capital would seem likely to get one, perhaps every major Western city.

Now, this too is of course part of the planning, but again, the Western Globalist Barons have remarkably little to lose. They would survive with quite a bit of their wealth intact even if all the major Western cities are flattened. They would survive on their yachts and bunkers, protected by armies of mercenaries and the networks they have cultivated in other countries. So, they are willing to risk this. That is why the Epstein Group is willing to risk this.

Normally speaking, the Russians are not willing to risk this, but after backing down 30 years in a row, they have realised that is not a liveable alternative either: only giving up their patriotism and their country would be a sustainable form of backing down. Can Russian pride wear that? It never has in the past and after 4 years of bloody conflict in Ukraine? I can't see it. So, they will not back down, hoping much of their population will survive.

A little-known fact is that Russia happens to have so many large deep bunkers that they can house their entire population in it. That gives it options the US and much of Europe does not have, such as to direct their population into the bunkers and then bomb all their enemies in a massive nuclear strike, expecting the majority of the Russian population to survive counter strikes. Of course, they won't be able to transport their population in time to all those bunkers (which are mainly in the mountains far away from the cities), but they can give the order to 'disperse and go to the bunkers' with much greater effect than any Western country can give such an order to their population.

Is China willing to risk this kind of scenario? I think they probably will not believe anyone else would risk this, because they do not understand Western politics and mistake Western countries for countries run for the sake of their populations rather than for the sake of a few billionaires. So, I think they will not believe the risks are real. When confronted with the actual thing, perhaps they will let Iran fall. By then, it will probably be too late. The Israelis are bound to attack China as well, just to make a point the Chinese will never understand.

The Iranian regime will certainly want to avoid its own death, which is why they were dumb enough to stop the 12-day war when they were clearly winning and could have pressed on for a decisive victory wherein they could have demanded an end to the genocide, possibly stopping the entire storm we are now in. However, they then mistakenly thought they could survive by agreeing to a ceasefire. Would they be willing to believe such a fairy tale again when Western powers offer it? I can see that happening, even after tremendous damage to Iranian cities.

However, I cannot see the Americans agreeing to a ceasefire with Iran once the Americans have taken heavy casualties. They are so used to winning the last 40 years – or at least believing they have won – that taking heavy casualties will enrage them so much that nothing but unconditional surrender by the Iranians will make them back down.

Would the Iranian elites surrender unconditionally to save what is left of their population, even though they would be winning against Israel and causing major damage to Western forces? Would the Ayatollahs accept that they would all be executed in war crime tribunals and that their religious revolution would be over, their country occupied, divided, and raped? I admit that I do not know Persian culture enough to know the answer to that, but I suspect the answer is 'no'. They would call the bluff of the Americans and the Israelis.

So, I do not really see an off-ramp in this scenario. Once large numbers of Americans die in an attack against Iran, I see no other end than lots of cities being nuked. Probably most major cities in the West, the Middle East, and probably a few in China, North Korea, and elsewhere too.

The 'low causality' scenario if Iran gets nuked is where it gets nuked by irate Americans, with the Iranians retaliating by dropping dirty bombs on Israeli cities and perhaps a few others in the Middle East and wherever in Europe they can reach. The Iranians might even have lost enough government integrity to have local commanders surrender without sending revenge bombs, though I doubt that: they will surely drop on Israel whatever they then still have to drop. Then some of its military might fight to the last, whilst any surviving Iranian populations surrender to the mercenaries.

In this kind of a scenario, where truly serious damage has been done to many, I can see the glimmer of another scenario, which is that whilst Iran might be conquered by the West, the cost was so high that several countries break ranks in the Western coalition and side with Russia. Perhaps the Chinese then for instance wake up and truly make allies out of some African and Latin American countries. Or even places like Romania and Poland. Then Iran is conquered, but the power of the Israelis and the Western Globalists is broken and more patriotic forces can win.

Possible, but it would mean the Israelis take their loss and do not enact some kind of further nuclear revenge on everyone they blame for Iran's resistance. Possible, but unlikely.

The aftermath

What if there is a major nuclear exchange? Countries can survive if their major cities have been nuked. Much of the populations of those cities would have escaped death since they will try to flee those cities before the bombs hit, but obviously the death tolls would be spectacular. Radiation would kill many tens of millions more. But iodine pills do work and populations do survive even quite large doses of radiation without long-term health damage, something we learned from the bombings in Japan. A military structure and government structure would also survive.

The populations would instantly become highly patriotic though. The EU project would die instantly, and so would the American Empire. Occupied or dominated countries would rebel and install their own much more patriotic government. Barons and their corporations would be cut down to size as whichever government survives will probably confiscate most of what is now owned by the Barons, including their industries. Barons will probably survive, but much diminished and no longer in charge of the major countries in Europe, Asia, Latin-America, or North America.

I imagine we would all join Brics in a new UN-type structure. And new fights and dynamics would take over almost instantly, as history always does. It is quite possible the patriotism that then wins is of a messianic variety, in which case one gets some form of internal ethnic cleansing.

So, there you have my various scenarios, all emanating from the same underlying push-factor: in a bid to remain in charge at home, the Western Globalist Barons are on the war path with the rest of the world. Russia and its closest allies are the only ones truly resisting with intelligence, and the likely battleground for the show-down is Iran because of the fanaticism that now rules Israel. If the Epstein Group that does the bidding of the Western Globalist Barons wins decisively, then we see a Western Empire emerge which is probably strong enough to subdue the patriots in Europe and the US.

Should the Western globalists lose, it seems likely to me a round of nuclear bombs will rain on the major cities of the West and other countries, heralding the victory of patriotism for some time further.

Middle-ground scenarios are possible wherein the globalists lose enough to be dislodged back home. But even in that scenario, I think it would take the destruction of a large country and the visible loss of a lot of Western troops to finally get populations to oust the Globalists.

I do think it likely some form of patriotism will 'win', but it will probably be a quite ugly variety of patriotism for some time. If I were a Muslim migrant in Europe, I would make sure I have a Plan B: only if the Globalists win quickly will they be tolerated in the form they are now.

Complicating considerations.

The above is the best reading of the international geopolitical tea leaves I can give. I have tried to concentrate on the major players and their view of their world.

Yet, there are always 'events' and other complicating, unforeseen developments that can instantly change the likely scenarios. In that sense, all of the above is merely the direction of current travel.

What could change things in a major way? A huge recession, such as via an implosion of the AI-speculative bubble, would change things in a major way as it would change the balance of power between Barons and further drive the population towards fanaticism. A major assassination, like that of Trump, would also make a difference as it would signal greater internal strife in the US, possibly reducing its appetite for foreign wars.

People talk about a revolution in France, but I don't see it. The Globalist forces in Europe are simply too strong to allow it to happen. To succeed, a revolution in France would have to be supported by so many people that the French army and security services also support it and break ranks with the Globalists, defying the orders of their superiors. That would seem highly unlikely.

I used to think that competition between countries in Europe would lead to an unravelling of the Globalist camp. Unfortunately, the Globalists thought the same thing and have organised to rig elections to prevent real political competition in Europe. They moved faster than I gave them credit for. Now they are clearly united, so we should not expect too much from Hungary or other small places still offering competition. Indeed, within the West, it is only in the US that the patriots have a real powerbase via the independence of the separate states within America and the coalition of industrialists supporting patriotism. In Europe, they are too numerous to be quashed at the moment, but that is exactly why we are moving towards a major war: to make the Globalists powerful enough

to quash the patriots at home. By ramping up domestic weapons production and national armies though, the Globalists are also creating the force that can go after them, so they need a quick victory.

What else could change the scenarios above? The Russian elites could be assassinated. China could go into one of its periodic civil war cycles. Both would be quickly used by the Western Globalists to occupy those countries, ensuring either WWII or Globalist victory.

What else? The slow destruction of Western culture and the deteriorating health of the population are not major factors these Barons will be concerned with. Too slow and tangential to them to worry about. With or without migrants, its patriotism versus globalists, as many migrants are quite happy to turn patriot (except for the Muslims, who are thus in real long-term trouble).

Climate change, virus scares, and woke are merely weaponised narratives in this tussle, so not important of themselves.

Major false flag operations within the West are quite possible, but also no more than tools. Given how much better organised the Globalists are, one would expect false flags to serve their interests, which is of course why we are currently seeing these ridiculous claims of Russian attacks on Nato. This is just basic political technique, an outflow of pressures, not the cause of them.

What kind of false flag would serve, say, the Israelis very well? Something they can blame on the Iranians and Muslims in general. Not an attack on Israel, but on America, such as perhaps a successful suicide mission to sink a US aircraft carrier. That would fit their plans very nicely. Or the blowing up of a major American base in Iraq. One should not be surprised at something like that.

What else?

What should Team Sanity do?

Looking at these scenarios above, what advice for the prominent members of Team Sanity, who are invariably patriots of some variety?

If the globalists win, we are a target, so if that victory seems likely, either learn to beg forgiveness real convincingly or pack your bags and go to a place where the Western globalists are unlikely to hunt you down. Russia, China and India could be your destination, as well as some neutral countries in Latin-America: they might be next on the menu of the Globalists, but by then the Globalists are unlikely to care about a few Team Sanity members living a quiet life there.

If the Globalists look like losing, make sure to avoid the big cities when the show-down is in full swing and otherwise bide your time and work on solutions for the day after sanity returns. Your country will need practical plans to restore sanity to government and business, as well as to education and health. It would be a time of opportunity, so then get ready to lead.

If we are in one of the middle scenarios where there is still a major battle at home fought between the globalists and the patriots? Those are the tough times in which choices have to be made that are currently unthinkable. Follow your instincts.

Are you a risk-averse person? Pack your bags and say hello to a place like Paraguay or New Zealand. Yes, New Zealand is likely to emerge unscathed in the major scenarios. You could do worse.